



THE SOCIETY OF NAVAL ARCHITECTS AND MARINE ENGINEERS

MARINE TECHNOLOGY
PAPER REVIEW EVALUATION SHEET

A Marine Technology paper should be: Clear, concise, complete, and original, with assumptions plainly identified, data and computation results presented with their uncertainty, with precise logic, with relevance to practice described, and with actual accomplishments of the work plainly stated and honestly appraised.

Reviewer _____ Date _____

Paper Title _____

Author(s) _____

Please complete review by: _____ Please E-mail SNAME immediately if you feel you will not be able to complete the review by the indicated date.

PAPER PROFILE

Place a check in the boxes which, in your opinion, best describe the following features of the manuscript.

Table with 5 columns: Poor*, Marginal*, Acceptable, Good, Excellent. Rows include General Interest, Originality of the Work, Technical Content, Timeliness, Long-term Reference Value, Completeness of the Reported Work, Acknowledgement of the Work of Others by References, Organization of the Manuscript, Clarity in Writing, Tables, Graphs & Illustrations.

Table with 2 columns: Yes, No. Rows include: In your opinion, is the technical treatment plausible and free of technical errors? Have you checked the equations? Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work? Is the manuscript free of commercialism? Is the paper too long?

FOR PUBLICATION IN MARINE TECHNOLOGY

This paper is: (check only one place)

- Acceptable
Acceptable with minor revisions (please use paragraph 1, Reviewer's Comments)
Acceptable with major revisions (additional review required after revision)
NOT ACCEPTABLE

REVIEWER'S COMMENTS

To assist the author in revising his manuscript, please separate your remarks into two clearly identified sections.

- (1) Those suggestions which, in your opinion, would improve the quality of the manuscript but are not essential for publication.
- (2) Changes which in your judgment should be made before publication.
- (3) Any other comments.

Remarks that are not clearly identified will be assumed to fall into the first category.

See (also) comments embedded in the paper using Word's **Tools, Track Changes**

Reviewer's Signature _____

Date _____

Reviewer's Telephone _____

Reviewer's Email Address _____